MINIREVIEW

Regulation of Sugar Utilization in Saccharomyces Species

MARIAN CARLSON

Department of Genetics and Development and Institute for Cancer Research, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, New York 10032

INTRODUCTION

Yeasts of the genus Saccharomyces are able to utilize a wide variety of sugars as sources of carbon and energy (for reviews, see references 2 and 26). Sugar utilization is governed by both genetic capability and regulatory mechanisms. In this review, I will first briefly consider the genetic variation in ability to utilize sugars that is found among Saccharomyces strains. I will then discuss the global regulation of sugar utilization by glucose repression. Finally, I will discuss mechanisms for the regulation of sucrose, galactose, melibiose, and maltose metabolism by glucose repression and by induction.

GENETIC VARIABILITY: FERMENTATION GENE FAMILIES

Closely related Saccharomyces strains differ greatly in their ability to utilize sugars. Fermentation of disaccharides and oligosaccharides is controlled by dispersed repeated families of genes, such as the SUC (sucrose), MAL (maltose), MEL (melibiose), and MGL (α-methylglucoside) gene families. Each family includes multiple, unlinked, functionally equivalent loci that control fermentation ability; for example, the SUC family includes six unlinked SUC genes, each a structural gene for invertase. An unusual feature of these gene families is that closely related Saccharomyces strains carry different active members of each family, and some strains lack functional genes. As a result, Saccharomyces strains differ in their ability to ferment sugars.

Studies of the molecular basis for the variability in SUC and MAL genotype indicate that the fermentation genes are present at different chromosomal locations in different genomes (reviewed in reference 6). For example, one strain may have a SUC gene at the SUC1 locus, whereas another strain may have no SUC gene sequences at that locus (7). Analysis of the SUC family indicates that most SUC genes reside near chromosome telomeres and that dispersal of SUC genes to different chromosomes occurred by rearrangements of telomeres (8). The exception is the SUC2 locus, which is not telomeric and probably always carries SUC gene sequences, although not always a functional allele (7). The overall organization of the MAL gene family appears to be similar to that of the SUC gene family, although each MAL locus is a complex locus including several genes involved in maltose utilization (14, 44, 47). The genotypic variability associated with these fermentation gene families is an unusual feature of the Saccharomyces genome. These dispersed gene families may offer the organism versatility in adaptation to different environments.

GLUCOSE REPRESSION

In a yeast strain with the genetic capability to utilize a particular sugar, the utilization of that sugar is controlled by glucose repression or carbon catabolite repression. Glucose repression is a global regulatory system governing the response of cells to the availability of glucose, and it affects expression of a multitude of genes, including sugar fermentation genes. Yeasts preferentially utilize hexoses such as glucose and fructose that enter the glycolytic pathway directly; thus, sugars such as sucrose and galactose are not metabolized in the presence of glucose. Glucose repression regulates expression of fermentation genes at the transcriptional level. Glucose also affects transport of sugars into the cell and thereby affects the function of regulatory mechanisms for induction.

Studies in several laboratories have shown that glucose repression is a complex regulatory system, and more than a dozen putative regulatory genes have been identified by genetic analysis (for reviews, see references 21 and 27). It is perhaps not surprising that many genes are involved, as the regulatory mechanisms must include sensory and signaling mechanisms for monitoring glucose availability and regulatory proteins that effect changes in expression of a multitude of genes. Although our picture of the regulatory circuitry is still incomplete, the regulatory system of Saccharomyces cerevisiae appears to be different from that of E. coli. The molecular signal for glucose deprivation in E. coli is cyclic AMP, which activates the catabolite activator protein, which in turn activates transcription of catabolite-repressible operons, such as lac. In contrast, genetic and biochemical evidence indicates that cyclic AMP is not a direct effector for glucose repression in S. cerevisiae (25, 41, 42). The information available on the molecular mechanisms of glucose repression in S. cerevisiae also supports the view that the regulatory system of S. cerevisiae is different from that of E. coli. Biochemical functions are known for two genes that affect global glucose repression, HXK2 and SNF1. HXK2 is the structural gene for the hexokinase PII (B) isozyme. Entian and his colleagues have isolated hxk2 mutations that do not affect the catalytic function but cause constitutivity for glucose-repressible enzymes, suggesting a regulatory function (20, 22, 23). My group has recently shown that SNF1, a gene required for release from glucose repression, encodes a protein kinase (11). This finding suggests that protein phosphorylation has a critical role in the mechanism for glucose repression in S. cerevisiae.

Genetic analysis of glucose repression has generally involved isolation of mutants that affect regulation of a particular "reporter" gene. A variety of schemes has been employed to isolate mutants with defects in glucose repression or derepression of different reporter genes. Many mutations

4874 MINIREVIEW J. BACTERIOL.

TABLE 1. Genes affecting glucose repression of SUC2

	• • • •	
Gene ^a	Effect of mutation on SUC2 expression	Gene product
SNF1 = CCR1 = CAT1	Defective derepression	Protein kinase
SNF4	Defective derepression	
SNF2	Defective derepression	
SNF5	Defective derepression	
SNF6	Defective derepression	
SNF3	Defective derepression and defective repression ^b	Glucose trans- porter
HXK2 = HEX1	Defective repression	Hexokinase PII
REG1 = HEX2?	Defective repression	
CIDI	Defective repression	
SSN6 = CYC8	Defective repression	
TUP1 = CYC9 = UMR7 = FLK1	Defective repression	

^a Genes are grouped according to epistasis relationships (see text).

have been found to cause defects in regulation of a spectrum of glucose-repressible genes, but not of all glucose-repressible genes. Thus, glucose repression is not effected only by global mechanisms; rather, some aspects of the regulatory system are specific to subsets of glucose-repressible genes. Mutants that have been obtained by using a variety of procedures have been recently reviewed by Gancedo and Gancedo (27) and by Entian (21). This review will consider only studies relating to glucose repression of the particular sugar utilization systems described below.

SUCROSE UTILIZATION

Utilization of sucrose or the trisaccharide raffinose requires expression of one of the SUC genes (SUCI through SUC5, SUC7) encoding invertase. The regulation of sucrose utilization is in principle less complex than that of other sugars because regulation is solely by glucose repression. There is no induction of SUC gene expression in response to availability of the substrates sucrose and raffinose. Nonetheless, regulation of SUC expression has proved to be a complex process requiring many genes (Table 1). Mutants with defects in either derepression or repression of SUC2 have been isolated, and all show defects in regulation of other glucose-repressible genes as well.

My group has shown that regulation of SUC2 occurs at the transcriptional level and is mediated by an upstream regulatory region (57). We isolated recessive mutations in six genes, SNF1 through SNF6, that caused defects in derepression of SUC2 and other glucose-repressible genes (9, 48). SNF1 is the same gene as CCR1 and CAT1, which were independently found to be essential for derepression of several glucose-repressible genes (15, 21). We have shown that the SNF1 gene encodes a protein kinase (11). Analysis of the genetic interactions of snf mutations with extragenic suppressors suggested that SNF4 is functionally related to SNF1, perhaps as a regulator or target of the protein kinase, and that SNF2, SNF5, and SNF6 are related to one another (48, 50). Further study of SNF2, SNF5, and SNF6 suggested that these genes are required for high-level expression of SUC2 and other genes but may not play regulatory roles in

glucose repression (1). The *SNF3* gene encodes a protein that is homologous to a human glucose transporter (J. Celenza, L. Marshall-Carlson, and M. Carlson, manuscript in preparation) and is required for high-affinity glucose transport (3). Disruption of *SNF3* showed that *SNF3* is not essential for regulation by glucose repression, but the aberrant regulation of *SUC2* expression observed in *snf3* missense mutants leaves open the possibility of a regulatory role for the *SNF3*-encoded protein (51).

Mutations causing constitutive (glucose-insensitive) synthesis of secreted invertase have been isolated in several laboratories. Zimmermann and Scheel isolated the hexl, hex2, and cat80 mutations, which cause constitutivity for invertase and also for maltase and malate dehydrogenase (24, 70). HEX1 is the same gene (20) as HXK2, the structural gene for hexokinase PII, which has been postulated to play both catalytic and regulatory roles in carbon source utilization (22, 23). Genetic mapping suggests that hex2 (22) is allelic to reg1 (43), which causes glucose-insensitive expression of galactokinase and invertase. In a selection for constitutive mutations in the S288C genetic background, my group recovered additional hxk2 and reg1 alleles and also mutations at a new locus designated cid1 (49). Mutations at a locus called variously tup1, umr7, flk1, and cyc9 cause constitutivity for invertase, maltase, and other glucoserepressible enzymes and also cause pleiotropic defects that are not obviously related to glucose repression (e.g., clumpiness, mating and sporulation defects, and utilization of exogenous deoxythymidine monophosphate) (38, 56, 58, 60, 65, 67). Constitutive mutations at another locus, ssn6 or cyc8, cause a similar spectrum of pleiotropies (10, 56, 65). Our ssn6 alleles were isolated as suppressors of the sucrosenonfermenting phenotype caused by snf1, and ssn6 was found to cause high-level constitutive invertase expression in both snfl and wild-type (SNFl) genetic backgrounds (10).

To assess the roles of these various genes in the regulatory circuits for glucose repression, we analyzed the epistasis relationships between the constitutive mutations and snf mutations (48, 49). These studies suggested that the constitutive mutations fall into two classes, one comprising cidl, reg1, and hxk2 and the other comprising ssn6 and tupl. The snf mutations (except for snf3) were epistatic to cidl, reg1, and hxk2, suggesting that CID1, REG1, and HXK2 function at early steps in the regulatory circuitry; perhaps these genes perform sensory or signaling functions that enable the cell to evaluate the availability of glucose in the environment. In contrast, ssn6 and tup1 suppressed the invertase derepression defect of snf1; this finding suggests that the negative regulatory effects of SSN6 and TUP1 on gene expression are fairly direct.

GALACTOSE AND MELIBIOSE UTILIZATION

The utilization of galactose requires the Leloir pathway enzymes encoded by the clustered GAL1, GAL7, and GAL10 genes and the galactose permease encoded by GAL2. The expression of these genes is induced by galactose and repressed by glucose. Utilization of melibiose requires also α-galactosidase, encoded by MEL1, which is similarly regulated. Induction is controlled by at least three regulatory genes, GAL4, GAL80, and GAL3. Oshima has reviewed (54) the early studies of this regulatory system and has provided complete references. I will here simply summarize the conclusions of Oshima and then consider more recent work in greater detail. Oshima documents evidence that GAL4 encodes a positive regulatory protein required for induction

 $[^]b$ Phenotypes of snf3 missense mutations; snf3 null mutations do not affect SUC2 expression.

Vol. 169, 1987 MINIREVIEW 4875

of transcription of the galactose catabolic pathway genes and that GAL80 encodes a negative regulator that interferes with GAL4 function in the absence of inducer. The evidence suggests that GAL4 and GAL80 are constitutively expressed and that the two proteins interact. In the model favored by Oshima, the GAL4 and GAL80-encoded proteins form a complex, and inducer dissociates the complex (or perhaps inhibits the negative function of the GAL80 protein), thus allowing transcriptional activation by the GAL4 protein.

Recent molecular analysis has provided further insight into these regulatory functions. The positive regulatory gene *GAL4* was cloned in several laboratories (31, 33, 36) and was shown to encode a 99-kilodalton protein (37). Increased *GAL4* gene dosage resulted in increased expression of *MEL1* and *GAL* cluster genes under noninducing/nonrepressing and glucose-repressing conditions; these results suggest that a molar excess of *GAL4* protein over the *GAL80* repressor allows transcriptional activation in the absence of inducer (31, 33). This interpretation was supported by evidence that a concomitant increase in *GAL80* gene dosage suppressed the *GAL4* gene dosage effect (31).

Recent biochemical evidence has shown that the GAL4 product binds to specific sites upstream of the target genes and activates transcription. Giniger et al. (28) showed that the GAL4 protein binds to four related 17-base-pair sites in the upstream activating sequence (UAS_G) between the divergently transcribed GAL1 and GAL10 genes (30, 32, 66, 68). A synthetic 17-base-pair sequence close to the consensus sequence of these binding sites conferred GAL4mediated galactose inducibility to yeast promoters (28). Bram and Kornberg also detected a GAL4-dependent binding of a protein to specific sites upstream of GAL cluster genes (4). Regions of the GALA protein that are required for nuclear localization, DNA binding, transcriptional activation, and interaction with the GAL80 negative regulator have been identified (5, 34, 35, 39, 59). Studies from the Ptashne laboratory have provided evidence that DNA binding and transcriptional activation are separable functions, suggesting that the GALA protein bound to the UAS activates transcription by contact with other DNA-bound proteins (5, 35, 39).

The GAL80 gene has also been cloned (53, 63, 69), and sequence analysis predicts that it encodes a 48-kilodalton protein (52). Gene dosage and gene disruption studies confirmed that the GAL80 protein is a negative regulator of MEL1 and GAL cluster gene expression (53, 63, 69). Analysis of gal80 deletion mutants showed that the GAL80 protein is required only for repression in the absence of inducer and is not necessary for expression of the structural genes (63, 69). As expected, deletion of GAL80 did not bypass the need for GAL4 function (63). Also, glucose repression was substantially intact in the absence of GAL80 function, indicating that the GAL80 protein does not mediate major effects (63, 69).

These studies indicate that regulation depends on a dosage-dependent functional interaction between the GAL4-and GAL80-encoded proteins. The mechanism of this interaction is not yet clear. One possibility is still the model favored by Oshima (54), i.e., that the two proteins form a complex that is dissociated in the presence of inducer. Giniger et al. reported preliminary evidence that the GAL80 protein does not prevent the binding of GAL4 protein to DNA, suggesting that the GAL80 protein inhibits GAL4-mediated transcriptional activation by modifying the interaction of GAL4 protein with UAS_G (28); these findings are not inconsistent with the idea that the regulatory mechanism involves dissociation of the complex. Another possibility

suggested by several authors, on the basis of indirect evidence, is that the *GAL80* protein may interact with regulatory sequences at the target genes to interfere with *GAL4* function (31, 33, 53).

The third major regulatory gene is GAL3. The GAL3 gene is necessary for the rapid induction of the galactose catabolic enzymes in response to the presence of galactose. A mutation at GAL3 results in a delay of several days. Genetic evidence (reviewed in reference 54) and recent molecular analysis of the GAL3 gene (64) indicate that GAL3 functions in induction at an earlier step than GAL4 does and that a function provided by either GAL3 or the GAL1-10-7 cluster is required for maintenance of the induced state. The currently favored model is that GAL3 functions in synthesis of the inducer or coinducer.

Studies in several laboratories have provided insight regarding the mechanisms by which glucose repression specifically affects expression of the GAL genes. Genetic studies led Matsumoto et al. (43) to propose that three independent regulatory circuits contribute to glucose repression of the GAL genes. One of these circuits involves the REG1 gene and has global effects on many glucose-repressible genes. The other two circuits are specific to galactose-regulated genes. One of the specific circuits involves two genes, designated GAL82 and GAL83 (40, 43), and the other is the GALA/GAL80 circuit. Matsumoto et al. suggest that glucose affects the GAL4/GAL80 circuit by affecting intracellular levels of galactose through inhibition of galactose uptake (43); however, Yocum and Johnston have argued that if inducer exclusion plays a role in glucose repression, it must occur at the level of GAL2 expression, not at the level of galactose permease function (69). Two lines of evidence point to a direct role of the GALA gene product in mediating glucose repression. First, Giniger et al. obtained biochemical evidence that one mechanism of glucose repression involves the inhibition of binding of the GAL4 protein to UAS_G, which was observed in both the presence and absence of the GAL80 protein (28). Second, recent studies of GAL4 function in another yeast, Kluyveromyces lactis, have also suggested a role in glucose repression. Introduction of GAL4 into a K. lactis strain with a mutation in LAC9, a positive regulatory gene for the lactose/galactose regulon, not only restored activation of the regulon but also caused a dramatic increase in sensitivity to glucose repression (55).

Two additional lines of evidence bear on the problem of glucose repression. Experiments reported by Struhl suggest that UAS_G mediates glucose repression by a negative control mechanism that can act at a distance on a heterologous, non-glucose-repressible promoter (61). Also, West et al. constructed deletions in UAS_G that reduced the repression caused by glucose, although this effect could have resulted from the decreased distance between UAS_G and the TATA box rather than from removal of specific sequences (66).

MALTOSE UTILIZATION

Maltose utilization requires a maltose transport system and maltase. These functions are induced by maltose and repressed by glucose. The genes required for maltose utilization are found at the MAL loci (MALI through MALA, MAL6). Physical and genetic analyses of MAL loci have shown that each active locus includes three genes that most probably encode maltase, a maltose transport protein, and a positive regulatory protein (16–18, 29, 45, 46, 62). Many Saccharomyces strains carry "cryptic" MAL loci that carry functional copies of some, but not all, of these genes (14, 44, 47).

4876 MINIREVIEW J. BACTERIOL.

Expression of the maltase and maltose permease genes is regulated at the RNA level, and the positive regulatory function present at MAL loci is required in trans for induction of these RNAs (12, 16, 46). Induction of the RNA encoding maltase also depends on the functioning of the maltose transport system (12, 16). Sequence analysis of the regulatory gene from the MAL6 locus (the MAL63 gene) revealed a cysteine-lysine-arginine-rich sequence that could form a metal-binding finger, thus raising the possibility that the positive regulatory gene product functions by binding to DNA (J. Kim and C. Michels, personal communication). The regulatory function may be involved in glucose repression as well as maltose induction; a dominant mutation in the regulatory gene at the MAL4 locus (MAL43, which is homologous to MAL63) causes both constitutive (maltoseindependent) and glucose-repression-insensitive expression of the maltose fermentation genes (13). Recent studies have identified a second trans-acting regulatory gene present at the MAL6 locus that can be activated by mutation (19). Mutations in this gene, called MAL64, cause constitutive expression of maltase and maltose permease structural genes. It is not yet known whether any other MAL loci carry genes homologous to MAL64.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The regulation of sugar utilization by glucose repression and specific induction systems is clearly complex. Nonetheless, enormous progress has been made in recent years. Genetic analysis has proved extremely useful in providing the framework for a coherent picture of these regulatory circuits, and the application of powerful biochemical and molecular genetic methods has already yielded considerable understanding of molecular mechanisms. Thus, the prospects for understanding these regulatory systems in molecular detail are promising. These systems should provide useful paradigms for studies of the eucaryotic regulatory response to environmental change.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank J. Kim and C. Michels for communicating unpublished results

Support was provided by Public Health Service grant GM34095 from the National Institutes of Health.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Abrams, E., L. Neigeborn, and M. Carlson. 1986. Molecular analysis of *SNF2* and *SNF5*, genes required for expression of glucose-repressible genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:3643–3651.
- Barnett, J. A. 1976. The utilization of sugars by yeasts. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 32:125-234.
- Bisson, L. F., L. Neigeborn, M. Carlson, and D. G. Fraenkel. 1987. The SNF3 gene is required for high-affinity glucose transport in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol. 169: 1656-1662.
- Bram, R. J., and R. D. Kornberg. 1985. Specific protein binding to far upstream activating sequences in polymerase II promoters. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:43-47.
- Brent, R., and M. Ptashne. 1985. A eukaryotic transcriptional activator bearing the DNA specificity of a prokaryotic repressor. Cell 43:729-736.
- Carlson, M. 1986. Genes at telomeres: fermentation gene families. UCLA Symp. Mol. Cell. Biol. New Ser. 33:241-250.
- Carlson, M., and D. Botstein. 1983. Organization of the SUC gene family in Saccharomyces. Mol. Cell. Biol. 3:351-359.
- Carlson, M., J. L. Celenza, and F. J. Eng. 1985. Evolution of the dispersed SUC gene family of Saccharomyces by rearrange-

- ments of chromosome telomeres. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:2894-2902.
- Carlson, M., B. C. Osmond, and D. Botstein. 1981. Mutants of yeast defective in sucrose utilization. Genetics 98:25-40.
- Carlson, M., B. C. Osmond, L. Neigeborn, and D. Botstein. 1984.
 A suppressor of snf1 mutations causes constitutive high-level invertase synthesis in yeast. Genetics 107:19-32.
- 11. Celenza, J. L., and M. Carlson. 1986. A yeast gene that is essential for release from glucose repression encodes a protein kinase. Science 233:1175–1180.
- 12. Charron, M. J., R. A. Dubin, and C. A. Michels. 1986. Structural and functional analysis of the *MAL1* locus of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:3891–3899.
- 13. Charron, M. J., and C. A. Michels. 1987. The constitutive, glucose-repression-insensitive mutation of the yeast *MAL4* locus is an alteration of the *MAL43* gene. Genetics 116:23-31.
- Chow, T., M. J. Goldenthal, J. D. Cohen, M. Hegde, and J. Marmur. 1983. Identification and physical characterization of yeast maltase structural genes. Mol. Gen. Genet. 191:366-371.
- Ciriacy, M. 1977. Isolation and characterization of yeast mutants defective in intermediary carbon metabolism and in carbon catabolite derepression. Mol. Gen. Genet. 154:213-220.
- Cohen, J. D., M. J. Goldenthal, B. Buchferer, and J. Marmur. 1984. Mutational analysis of the MAL1 locus of Saccharomyces: identification and functional characterization of three genes. Mol. Gen. Genet. 196:208-216.
- Cohen, J. D., M. J. Goldenthal, T. Chow, B. Buchferer, and J. Marmur. 1985. Organization of the MAL loci of Saccharomyces. Physical identification and functional characterization of three genes at the MAL6 locus. Mol. Gen. Genet. 200:1-8.
- Dubin, R. A., R. B. Needleman, D. Gossett, and C. A. Michels. 1985. Identification of the structural gene encoding maltase within the MAL6 locus of Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. J. Bacteriol. 164:605-610.
- Dubin, R. A., E. L. Perkins, R. B. Needleman, and C. A. Michels. 1986. Identification of a second trans-acting gene controlling maltose fermentation in Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:2757-2765.
- Entian, K.-D. 1980. Genetic and biochemical evidence for hexokinase PII as a key enzyme involved in carbon catabolite repression in yeast. Mol. Gen. Genet. 178:633-637.
- 21. Entian, K.-D. 1986. Glucose repression: a complex regulatory system in yeast. Microbiol. Sci. 3:366-371.
- Entian, K.-D., and K.-U. Frohlich. 1984. Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants provide evidence of hexokinase PII as a bifunctional enzyme with catalytic and regulatory domains for triggering carbon catabolite repression. J. Bacteriol. 158:29-35.
- Entian, K.-D., F. Hilberg, H. Opitz, and D. Mecke. 1985.
 Cloning of hexokinase structural genes from Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants with regulatory mutations responsible for glucose repression. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:3035-3040.
- Entian, K.-D., and F. K. Zimmermann. 1980. Glycolytic enzymes and intermediates in carbon catabolite repression mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Gen. Genet. 177: 345-350.
- Eraso, P., and J. M. Gancedo. 1984. Catabolite repression in yeasts is not associated with low levels of cAMP. Eur. J. Biochem. 141:195-198.
- 26. Fraenkel, D. G. 1982. Carbohydrate metabolism, p. 1-37. In J. N. Strathern, E. W. Jones, and J. R. Broach (ed.): The molecular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces. Metabolism and gene expression. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Gancedo, J. M., and C. Gancedo. 1986. Catabolite repression mutants of yeast. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 32:179–187.
- Giniger, E., S. M. Varnum, and M. Ptashne. 1985. Specific DNA binding of GAL4, a positive regulatory protein of yeast. Cell 40:767-774.
- Goldenthal, M. J., J. D. Cohen, and J. Marmur. 1983. Isolation and characterization of a maltose transport mutant in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr. Genet. 7:195-199.
- 30. Guarente, L., R. R. Yocum, and P. Gifford. 1982. A GAL10-CYC1 hybrid yeast promoter identifies the GAL4 regulatory

- region as an upstream site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:7410-7414
- Hashimoto, H., Y. Kikuchi, Y. Nogi, and T. Fukusawa. 1983.
 Regulation of expression of the galactose gene cluster in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: isolation and characterization of the regulatory gene GAL4. Mol. Gen. Genet. 191:31-38.
- Johnston, M., and R. Davis. 1984. Sequences that regulate the divergent GAL1-GAL10 promoter in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:1440-1448.
- Johnston, S. A., and J. E. Hopper. 1982. Isolation of the yeast regulatory gene GAL4 and analysis of its dosage effects on the galactose/melibiose regulon. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:6971-6975.
- Johnston, S. A., M. J. Zavortink, C. Debouck, and J. E. Hopper. 1986. Functional domains of the yeast regulatory protein GAL4. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83:6553-6557.
- Keegan, L., G. Gill, and M. Ptashne. 1986. Separation of DNA binding from the transcription-activating function of a eukaryotic regulatory protein. Science 231:699-704.
- Laughon, A., and R. F. Gesteland. 1982. Isolation and preliminary characterization of the GAL4 gene, a positive regulator of transcription in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79:6827-6831.
- 37. Laughon, A., and R. F. Gesteland. 1984. Primary structure of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL4 gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:260-267.
- Lemontt, J. F., D. R. Fugit, and V. L. MacKay. 1980. Pleiotropic mutations at the *TUP1* locus that affect the expression of mating-type-dependent functions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 94:899-920.
- Ma, J., and M. Ptashne. 1987. Deletion analysis of GAL4 defines two transcriptional activating segments. Cell 48: 847-853.
- Matsumoto, K., A. Toh-e, and Y. Oshima. 1981. Isolation and characterization of dominant mutations resistant to carbon catabolite repression of galactokinase synthesis is Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1:83-93.
- Matsumoto, K., I. Uno, T. Ishikawa, and Y. Oshima. 1983. Cyclic AMP may not be involved in catabolite repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: evidence from mutants unable to synthesize it. J. Bacteriol. 156:898-900.
- Matsumoto, K., I. Uno, A. Toh-e, T. Ishikawa, and Y. Oshima. 1982. Cyclic AMP may not be involved in catabolite repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: evidence from mutants capable of utilizing it as an adenine source. J. Bacteriol. 150:277-285.
- Matsumoto, K., T. Yoshimatsu, and Y. Oshima. 1983. Recessive mutations conferring resistance to carbon catabolite repression of galactokinase synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol. 153:1405-1414.
- Michels, C. A., and R. B. Needleman. 1984. The dispersed repeated family of MAL loci in Saccharomyces spp. J. Bacteriol. 157:949-952.
- Naumov, G. I. 1976. Comparative genetics of yeasts. XVI. Genes for maltose fermentation in Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Genetika 12:87-100.
- Needleman, R. B., D. B. Kaback, R. A. Dubin, E. L. Perkins, N. G. Rosenberg, K. A. Sutherland, D. B. Forrest, and C. A. Michels. 1984. MAL6 of Saccharomyces: a complex genetic locus containing three genes required for maltose fermentation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:2811-2815.
- Needleman, R. B., and C. Michels. 1983. Repeated family of genes controlling maltose fermentation in Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Mol. Cell. Biol. 3:796-802.
- 48. Neigeborn, L., and M. Carlson. 1984. Genes affecting the regulation of *SUC2* gene expression by glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 108:845–858.
- Neigeborn, L., and M. Carlson. 1987. Mutations causing constitutive invertase synthesis in yeast: genetic interactions with snf mutations. Genetics 115:247-253.
- Neigeborn, L., K. Rubin, and M. Carlson. 1986. Suppressors of snf2 mutations restore invertase derepression and cause temperature-sensitive lethality in yeast. Genetics 112:741-753.

51. Neigeborn, L., P. Schwartzberg, R. Reid, and M. Carlson. 1986. Null mutations in the *SNF3* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cause a different phenotype than do previously isolated missense mutations. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6:3569-3574.

4877

- Nogi, Y., and T. Fukasawa. 1984. Nucleotide sequence of the yeast regulatory gene GAL80. Nucleic Acids Res. 12:9287-9298.
- Nogi, Y., H. Shimada, Y. Matsuzaki, H. Hashimoto, and T. Fukasawa. 1984. Regulation of expression of the galactose gene cluster in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. II. The isolation and dosage effect of the regulatory gene GAL80. Mol. Gen. Genet. 195:29-34.
- 54. Oshima, Y. 1982. Regulatory circuits for gene expression: the metabolism of galactose and phosphate, p. 159–180. In J. N. Strathern, E. W. Jones, and J. R. Broach (ed.), The molecular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces. Metabolism and gene expression. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 55. Riley, M. I., J. E. Hopper, S. A. Johnston, and R. C. Dickson. 1987. GAL4 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae activates the lactosegalactose regulon of Kluyveromyces lactis and creates a new phenotype: glucose repression of the regulon. Mol. Cell. Biol. 7:780-786.
- 56. Rothstein, R. J., and F. Sherman. 1980. Genes affecting the expression of cytochrome c in yeast: genetic mapping and genetic interactions. Genetics 94:871-889.
- Sarokin, L., and M. Carlson. 1985. Upstream region of the SUC2 gene confers regulated expression to a heterologous gene in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:2521-2526.
- 58. Schamhart, D. H. J., A. M. A. ten Berge, and K. W. van de Poll. 1975. Isolation of a catabolite repression mutant of yeast as a revertant of a strain that is maltose negative in the respiratorydeficient state. J. Bacteriol. 121:747-752.
- Silver, P. A., L. P. Keegan, and M. Ptashne. 1984. Amino terminus of the yeast GAL4 gene product is sufficient for nuclear localization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81:5951-5955.
- Stark, H. C., D. Fugit, and D. B. Mowshowitz. 1980. Pleiotropic properties of a yeast mutant insensitive to catabolite repression. Genetics 94:921-928.
- 61. Struhl, K. 1985. Negative control at a distance mediates catabolite repression in yeast. Nature (London) 317:822-824.
- 62. ten Berge, A. M. A., G. Zoutewelle, and K. W. van de Poll. 1973. Regulation of maltose fermentation in Saccharomyces carlsbergensis. Mol. Gen. Genet. 123:233-246.
- 63. Torchia, T. E., R. W. Hamilton, C. L. Cano, and J. E. Hopper. 1984. Disruption of regulatory gene GAL80 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: effects on carbon-controlled regulation of the galactose/melibiose pathway genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:1521– 1527.
- 64. Torchia, T. E., and J. E. Hopper. Genetic and molecular analysis of the GAL3 gene in the expression of the galactose/melibiose regulon of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 113:229-246.
- Trumbly, R. J. 1986. Isolation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants constitutive for invertase synthesis. J. Bacteriol. 166:1123-1127.
- 66. West, R. W., Jr., R. R. Yocum, and M. Ptashne. 1984. Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL1-GAL10 divergent promoter region: location and function of the upstream activating sequence UAS_G. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:2467-2478.
- 67. Wickner, R. B. 1974. Mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae that incorporate deoxythymidine-5'-monophosphate into deoxyribonucleic acid in vivo. J. Bacteriol. 117:252-260.
- 68. Yocum, R. R., S. Hanley, R. West, Jr., and M. Ptashne. 1984. Use of lacZ fusions to delimit regulatory elements of the inducible divergent GAL1-GAL10 promoter in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:1985-1998.
- 69. Yocum, R. R., and M. Johnston. 1984. Molecular cloning of the GAL80 gene from Saccharomyces cerevisiae and characterization of a gal80 deletion. Gene 32:75-81.
- Zimmermann, F. K., and I. Scheel. 1977. Mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae resistant to carbon catabolite repression. Mol. Gen. Genet. 154:75–82.